

DOC NO
REC'D/FILED

2016 AUG -1 AM 10:49
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
PETER OPPENEER
CLERK US DIST COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN
WD OF WI

LUKE S. SOULE

CASE NO.

V.

16-CV-100-JDP

M. POTIS, F. MACKINNON,

L.C. WARD, SARA M. REVELL,

JUDGE

AND THOMAS R. KANE

JAMES D. PETERSON

NOTICE OF APPEAL

1. FIRST, THE COURT DID NOT CONSIDER
THE ALLEGATIONS LIBERALLY AND IN
THE PLAINTIFFS FAVOR.

I WOULD LIKE TO POINT OUT TO THE COURT
THAT THE COURT DIDN'T EVEN ADDRESS
THE REAL ISSUE, THE ISSUE HERE IS
REAL SIMPLE, M. POTIS AND F. MACKINNON
IMPOSED DISCIPLINARY SANCTION ON ME
BY FIRING ME FROM MY JOB AND DEMOTING
MY PAY GRADE. THE QUESTION IS WHY TO
THEY FIRE AND DEMOTE ME. THE ANSWER IS,
BECAUSE OUVIONS WERE FOUND IN THE DINING
ROOM. THE COURT FAILED TO EVEN CONSIDER
THEIR REASONS, COULD THEIR MOTIVE BE
THAT THEY WERE BEING VENGEFUL, THE ANSWER
IS YES, THEY WERE BEING VENGEFUL BECAUSE
THEY DIDN'T KNOW WHO PUT THE OUVIONS
IN THE DINING ROOM, THEIR ACTIONS SHOW
VENGEFULNESS BY FIRING AND DEMOTING
6 PEOPLE WHO WORK IN VEG-PREP, WITHOUT
ANY PROFF THAT ANY ONE OF THEM STOLE

ANYTHING, I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO POINT OUT TO THE COURT THAT THE 6 PEOPLE WHO WORK IN VEG-PREP ARE NOT THE ONLY ONES IN CONTACT WITH O'NEALS. THE WHARE-HOUSE GUYS ARE IN CONTACT WITH O'NEALS, THE COOKS ARE IN CONTACT WITH O'NEALS, AS WELL AS THE BAKERY GUYS, THIS SHOWS THAT THEY WERE VINDICTIVE. THIS SHOWS THAT THEY MADE AN EXAMPLE OUT OF THE 6 GUYS WHO WORK IN VEG-PREP, AS A WARNING TO EVERYONE.

2. SECOND, THE COURT SHOULD BE ATTEMPTING TO COVER UP THE FACTS MADE BY PLAINTIFF, BY RELYING ON THE B.O.P.'S DEFENSE.

THE ISSUE IS NOT ABOUT JOB REASSIGNMENT,

~~THE COURT SHOULD BE ATTEMPTING TO COVER UP THE FACTS MADE BY PLAINTIFF, BY RELYING ON THE B.O.P.'S DEFENSE.~~

THE B.O.P. WOULD LIKE TO HAVE THE COURT STAY ON THIS ROAD, BUT THE TRUTH IS THIS, THERE IS NO POLICY THAT STATES THAT STAFF CAN PUNISH A INMATE BY REASSIGNING HIM TO ANOTHER JOB.

THAT IS A FACT. IT IS ALSO A FACT THAT THE POLICY IS SO VAGUE THAT

IT COULD BE INTERPRETED ANY WAY

YOU WANT. ANOTHER FACT, B.O.P.

STAFF NEVER GAVE A REASON FOR

REASSIGNMENT, WHY? I'LL TELL

YOU WHY, BECAUSE THEY WOULD OF

SAID BECAUSE O'NEALS WERE FOUND IN

DINING ROOM, ANOTHER FACT, ALL

B.O.P. STAFF ARE HABITUAL LIERS.

THE COURT KNOWS THIS THOUGH, THEY

HAVE BEEN COVERING UP THEIR LIES

FOR YEARS, JUST LIKE THIS COURT IS
TRYING TO DO NOW.

3. THEN, THE COURT SAYS I'M NOT
ENTITLED TO RELIEF, THEY ARE WRONG,
I AM ENTITLED TO JUSTICE, I WILL
HAVE JUSTICE, SO PLEASE ~~NOTICE~~ NOTIFY
ALL CONCERNED.

Luke J. J. J.
7-27-2016